

Communication Re: Appeal	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,242	COOK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Janet L. Epps-Ford	1633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- The Notice of Appeal filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is not acceptable because:
  - it was not timely filed.
  - the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).
  - the appeal fee received on \_\_\_\_\_ was not timely filed.
  - the submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
  - the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.
  - a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on \_\_\_\_\_.
- The appeal brief filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:
  - the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).
  - the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).
  - the submitted brief fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
- The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:
  - the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.
  - the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.
  - a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - other: \_\_\_\_\_.
- Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:
  - is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.
  - is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.
  - is before the examiner for consideration.

/Janet L. Epps-Ford/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633